

Module 9 - Evaluation Paper Submission

The objective of this assignment is to demonstrate that you are able to assess that a patient portal is functioning as intended. This assignment is a comprehensive reflection of the previous course content.

Based on the following, propose and defend a concrete/measurable evaluation plan for your chosen implemented changes to your Patient Web Portal:

1. Text reminders for upcoming appointment
2. Requests to complete Intake sheet aka clipboard online 48 hrs prior to your visit

For this paper, identify the concrete and measurable metrics, how you are going to collect the data, and how you are going to analyze the data for a patient web portal system implementation (60 points):

- At the time of implementation (20 points)
- 3 months after initial implementation (20 points)
- One year after implementation (20 points)

Rationale(s) of selection of evaluation method(s) (20 points)

Presentation and layout of paper (10 point)

APA style and references (10 point)

Usually this paper is between **10 and 15 pages double spaced**. APA-format is required. Information about APA formatting can be found at https://owl.purdue.edu/owl/research_and_citation/apa_style/apa_formatting_and_style_guide/general_format.html (Links to an external site.)

Note: This assignment will be evaluated by Turnitin for originality. According to school policy, the match percentage (when compared to other texts) cannot exceed 30%. You will be able to review your Turnitin report after submitting; if your match percentage is higher than 30%, you will be required to revise and resubmit. Upload your paper in time to use TurnItIn and make any needed revisions before the due date and time.

Rubric

Evaluation Paper						
Criteria	Ratings					Pts
This criterion is linked to a Learning OutcomeAt the time of adoption	20.0 pts Exceptional Well-developed and logically reasoned identification of the concrete and measurable metrics, discussion of how you are going to collect the data, and discussion of how you are going to analyze the data for a patient web portal system implementation.	15.0 pts Satisfactory Discussion is well-developed, but logic may not be clear, related to identification of the concrete and measurable metrics, discussion of how you are going to collect the data, and discussion of how you are going to analyze the data for a patient web portal system implementation.	10.0 pts Substandard Discussion is not fully developed and logic may not be clear related to the identification of the concrete and measurable metrics, discussion of how you are going to collect the data, and discussion of how you are going to analyze the data for a patient web portal system implementation.	5.0 pts Unacceptable Minimal effort in answer development and logic in the identification of the concrete and measurable metrics, discussion of how you are going to collect the data, and discussion of how you are going to analyze the data for a patient web portal system implementation.	0.0 pts No Marks Not Completed	20.0 pts

Evaluation Paper						
Criteria	Ratings					Pts
This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome3 months after initial implementation	20.0 pts	15.0 pts	10.0 pts	5.0 pts	0.0 pts	20.0 pts
	Exceptional	Satisfactory	Substandard	Unacceptable	No Marks	
	Well-developed and logically reasoned identification of the concrete and measurable metrics, discussion of how you are going to collect the data, and discussion of how you are going to analyze the data for a patient web portal system implementation.	Discussion is well-developed, but logic may not be clear, related to identification of the concrete and measurable metrics, discussion of how you are going to collect the data, and discussion of how you are going to analyze the data for a patient web portal system implementation.	Discussion is not fully developed and logic may not be clear related to the identification of the concrete and measurable metrics, discussion of how you are going to collect the data, and discussion of how you are going to analyze the data for a patient web portal system implementation.	Minimal effort in answer development and logic in the identification of the concrete and measurable metrics, discussion of how you are going to collect the data, and discussion of how you are going to analyze the data for a patient web portal system implementation.	Not Completed	

Evaluation Paper

Criteria	Ratings					Pts
This criterion is linked to a Learning OutcomeOne year after implementation	20.0 pts Exceptional Well developed and logically reasoned identification of the concrete and measurable metrics, discussion of how you are going to collect the data, and discussion of how you are going to analyze the data for a patient web portal system implementation.	15.0 pts Satisfactory Discussion is well-developed, but logic may not be clear, related to identification of the concrete and measurable metrics, discussion of how you are going to collect the data, and discussion of how you are going to analyze the data for a patient web portal system implementation.	10.0 pts Substandard Discussion is not fully developed and logic may not be clear related to the identification of the concrete and measurable metrics, discussion of how you are going to collect the data, and discussion of how you are going to analyze the data for a patient web portal system implementation.	5.0 pts Unacceptable Minimal effort in answer development and logic in the identification of the concrete and measurable metrics, discussion of how you are going to collect the data, and discussion of how you are going to analyze the data for a patient web portal system implementation.	0.0 pts No Marks Not Completed	20.0 pts

Evaluation Paper							
Criteria	Ratings					Pts	
This criterion is linked to a Learning OutcomeRationale(s) for the selection of evaluation method(s) at the three time periods	20.0 pts	15.0 pts	10.0 pts	5.0 pts	0.0 pts	20.0 pts	
	Exceptional Well-developed and logically reasoned discussion of the rationale(s) for the selection of evaluation method(s) at the three time periods.	Satisfactory Discussion is well-developed, but logic may not be clear, related to the rationale(s) of selection of evaluation method(s) at the three time periods.	Substandard Discussion is not fully developed and logic may not be clear related to the identification of the rationale(s) for the selection of evaluation method(s) at the three time periods.	Unacceptable Minimal effort in answer development and logic in the discussion of the rationale(s) for the selection of evaluation method(s) at the three time periods.	No Marks Not Completed		
This criterion is linked to a Learning OutcomePresentation and layout of paper	10.0 pts	7.5 pts	5.0 pts	2.5 pts	0.0 pts	10.0 pts	
	Exceptional Follows APA guidelines for presentation and layout of paper including title page with running head, page numbers, and headers.	Satisfactory Follows most APA guidelines for presentation and layout of paper including title page with running head,	Substandard Many errors in following APA guidelines for presentation and layout of paper including title page with running head,	Unacceptable Lack in following APA guidelines for presentation and layout of paper including title page with running head, page numbers, and headers.	No Marks Not Completed		

Evaluation Paper						
Criteria	Ratings					Pts
		page numbers, and headers.	page numbers, and headers.			
This criterion is linked to a Learning OutcomeAPA style and references	10.0 pts Exceptional Follows APA guidelines for in-text citations, formatting for long and short quotes, and references, including hanging indent.	7.5 pts Satisfactory Follows most APA guidelines for in-text citations, formatting for long and short quotes, and references, including hanging indent.	5.0 pts Substandard Many errors in following APA guidelines for in-text citations, formatting for long and short quotes, and references, including hanging indent.	2.5 pts Unacceptable Lack in following APA guidelines for in-text citations, formatting for long and short quotes, and references, including hanging indent.	0.0 pts No Marks Not Completed	10.0 pts

Evaluation Paper

Criteria	Ratings	Pts
Total Points: 100.0		